

Strong Convexity and Smoothness Duality

Pontus Giselsson

In this short note, we prove the following duality correspondence.

Theorem 1 *The following are equivalent for $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$.*

- (i) f is proper closed and σ -strongly convex
- (ii) $\partial f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is maximally monotone and σ -strongly monotone
- (iii) $\nabla f^* : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is σ -cocoercive
- (iv) ∇f^* is $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ -Lipschitz continuous and maximally monotone
- (v) $f^* : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is closed convex and satisfies descent lemma (is $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ -smooth)

The implication (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) is called the Baillon-Haddad theorem.

We will make use of the following results.

Proposition 1 (Rockafellar) *The function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is proper closed and convex if and only if $\partial f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is maximally monotone.*

Proposition 2 (Minty) *The subdifferential $\partial f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is maximally monotone if and only if $\text{ran}(\alpha I + \partial f) = \mathbb{R}^n$ for any $\alpha > 0$.*

Proposition 3 *Suppose that f is proper closed and convex. Then $(\partial f)^{-1} = \partial f^*$.*

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): (i) is equivalent to that $g(x) = f(x) - \frac{\sigma}{2}\|x\|_2^2$ is proper closed and convex and Proposition 1 implies its equivalence to that $\partial g = \partial(f - \frac{\sigma}{2}\|\cdot\|_2^2) = \partial f - \sigma I$ is maximally monotone (where the last equality can trivially be shown to hold). This, in turn, is equivalent to that ∂f is maximally monotone and σ -strongly monotone.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii): (ii) is equivalent to that $\partial g = \partial f - \sigma I$ is maximally monotone. The monotonicity part is equivalent to

$$(s_x - s_y)^T(x - y) \geq \sigma\|x - y\|_2^2$$

for all $(x, s_x) \in \text{gph}\partial f$ and $(y, s_y) \in \text{gph}\partial f$ or equivalently (Proposition 3) for all $x \in \partial f^*(s_x)$ and $y \in \partial f^*(s_y)$. Since Cauchy-Schwarz implies that ∂f^* is singlevalued on its domain ($D = \text{ran}\partial f$), it is equivalent to that

$$(s_x - s_y)^T(\nabla f^*(s_x) - \nabla f^*(s_y)) \geq \sigma\|\nabla f^*(s_x) - \nabla f^*(s_y)\|_2^2 \quad (1)$$

where $\nabla f^* : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ where $D = \text{ran}\partial f$.

The maximally part is (by Proposition 2) equivalent to that $\text{ran}(\alpha I + \partial g) = \mathbb{R}^n$ for any $\alpha > 0$. Now set $\alpha = \sigma$ to get $\text{ran}(\sigma I + \partial f - \sigma I) = \text{ran}(\partial f) = D = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Hence maximal monotonicity of $g = f - \frac{\sigma}{2}\|\cdot\|_2^2$ is equivalent to that $\nabla f^* : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies (1), i.e., is σ -cocoercive.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Cauchy-Schwarz and nonnegativity of norms give that cocoercivity (1) implies monotonicity and $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ -Lipschitz continuity of ∇f^* . Further, since f^* is proper closed convex (by construction of conjugate functions) ∇f^* is maximally monotone (Proposition 1).

(iv) \Rightarrow (v): Let $h(\tau) = f^*(x + \tau(y - x))$, then by chain rule $\nabla h(\tau) = \nabla f^*(x + \tau(y - x))$ and

$$f^*(y) - f^*(x) = h(1) - h(0) = \int_{\tau=0}^1 \nabla h(\tau) d\tau = \int_{\tau=0}^1 \nabla f^*(x + \tau(y - x))^T (y - x) d\tau.$$

Further

$$\nabla f^*(x)^T(y-x) = \int_{\tau=0}^1 \nabla f^*(x)^T(y-x) d\tau$$

Adding equalities on previous slide and taking absolute value:

$$\begin{aligned} & |f^*(y) - f^*(x) - \nabla f^*(x)^T(y-x)| \\ &= \left| \int_{\tau=0}^1 (\nabla f^*(x + \tau(y-x)) - \nabla f^*(x))^T(y-x) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\tau=0}^1 |(\nabla f^*(x + \tau(y-x)) - \nabla f^*(x))^T(y-x)| d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{\tau=0}^1 \|\nabla f^*(x + \tau(y-x)) - \nabla f^*(x)\| \|y-x\| d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{\tau=0}^1 \beta \|\tau(y-x)\| \|y-x\| d\tau = \beta \|y-x\|^2 \int_{\tau=0}^1 \tau d\tau \\ &= \frac{\beta}{2} \|y-x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging gives

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(y) - f^*(x) - \nabla f^*(x)^T(y-x) &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|y-x\|^2 \\ f^*(y) - f^*(x) - \nabla f^*(x)^T(y-x) &\geq -\frac{\beta}{2} \|y-x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since f^* is closed convex, the second condition is redundant and f^* satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(y) - f^*(x) - \nabla f^*(x)^T(y-x) &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|y-x\|^2 \\ f^*(y) &\geq f^*(x) + \nabla f^*(x)^T(y-x) \end{aligned}$$

(v) \Rightarrow (iii): Define $\phi(y) = f^*(y) - \nabla f^*(x)^T y$, which is also $\frac{1}{\sigma}$ -smooth (w.r.t. y) and convex with gradient: $\nabla \phi(y) = \nabla f^*(y) - \nabla f^*(x)$. A minimizing point is x since ϕ convex and $\nabla \phi(x) = 0$. Therefore, and since ϕ is smooth and the descent lemma holds, and we can conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &\leq \phi(y - \sigma \nabla \phi(y)) \leq \phi(y) + \nabla \phi(y)^T(y - \sigma \nabla \phi(y) - y) + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|y - \sigma \nabla \phi(y) - y\|_2^2 \\ &= \phi(y) - \frac{\sigma}{2} \|\nabla \phi(y)\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the definition of ϕ gives:

$$f^*(x) - \nabla f^*(x)^T x \leq f^*(y) - \nabla f^*(x)^T y - \frac{\sigma}{2} \|\nabla f^*(y) - \nabla f^*(x)\|_2^2$$

and after rearrangement

$$f^*(x) + \nabla f^*(x)^T(y-x) + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|\nabla f^*(y) - \nabla f^*(x)\|_2^2 \leq f^*(y).$$

This inequality holds for arbitrary $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We add two copies with x, y swapped to get

$$(\nabla f^*(x)^T - \nabla f^*(y))^T(y-x) \geq \sigma \|\nabla f^*(y) - \nabla f^*(x)\|_2^2,$$

which is the definition of cocoercivity in (iii). \square