Content
[Real-Time Control System: Chapter 4] 1. Deadlock
2. Priority Inversion
3. Message Passing
Deadlock
Improper allocation of common resources may cause deadlocks. <b>Example</b> : A and B both need access to two common resources, protected by the semaphores R1 and R2 (initialized to 1). May cause deadlock.
Process A         Process B               wait(R1);         wait(R2);           wait(R2);         wait(R1);               signal(R2);         signal(R1);           signal(R1);
Deadlock: Necessary Conditions
<ol> <li>Conditions that must happen for a deadlock to occurr:         <ol> <li>Mutual exclusion: only a bounded number of processes can use a resource at a time;</li> <li>Hold and wait: processes must exist which are holding resources while waiting for other resources;</li> <li>No preemption: resources can only be released voluntarily by a process;</li> <li>Circular wait: a circular chain of processes must exist such that each process holds a resource that is requested by the next process in the chain.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>


### **Deadlock Prevention**

To prevent deadlock it is possible to remove one of the four conditions:

- 1. Mutual exclusion usually unrealistic;
- Hold and wait require that the processes preallocate all resources before execution or at points when they have no other resources allocated;
- 3. No preemption forced resource deallocation;
- 4. *Circular wait* ensure that resources always are allocated in a fixed order.

### Hierarchical Resource Allocation

Pyramidal resource allocation. A resource belongs to one of the classes  $R_i$  where  $i = 1 \dots n$ . A process must reserve resources following the classes order. If it has a resource of order m it cannot reserve a resource of order p where p < m.



7

#### **Priority Inversion**

5

Priority inversion can happen when a high-priority process becomes blocked by a lower priority process and there is no common resource involved between the two processes.





(1) Plot-Process enters Plot-Monitor; (2) an interrupt cause OpCom to execute; (3) an interrupt cause Controller to execute; (4) Controller tries to enter Plot-Monitor and is blocked, because the monitor is held by Plot-Process, but Plot-Process cannot execute because OpCom has higher priority. **OpCom is blocking Controller.** 


Priority Inversion	Priority Inheritance
Solutions: • Priority Inheritance; • Priority Ceiling Protocol; • Immediate Inheritance.	During the execution of Enter, if the monitor is occupied then the priority of the process holding the monitor is raised to the priority of the process that called Enter. The priority is reset when the process holding the monitor calls Leave. This is for example how the problem is solved in STORK. In the example, when Controller tries to enter Plot-Monitor, the priority of Plot-Process is raised to 30 (highest), there is a context switch to Plot-Process and as soon as Plot-Process relases the lock, there is a context switch to Controller. When Controller releases the CPU, OpCom can be executed.
0	9

## Priority Inversion

iority Inheritance	Example: Mars Pathfinder 1997
P: Plot-Process; O: OpCom; C: Controller.	<ul> <li>After a while the spacecraft experienced total system resets, resulting in losses of meteorological data. Reason:</li> <li>a mutex-protected shared memory area for passing information;</li> <li>a high priority bus management task, frequently passing data in/out;</li> <li>an infrequent data gathering task at low priority, entering data into the memory;</li> <li>a third communication task at medium priority, not accessing the shared memory;</li> <li>occasionally, the situation arised where the mutex was held by the low priority task, the high priority task was blocked on the mutex, and the medium priority task was executing, preventing the low priority task from leaving the mutex.</li> <li>Classical Priority Inversion Situation</li> </ul>
10	
ample: Solution	The Priority Ceiling Protocol
<ul> <li>VxWorks from Wind River Systems;</li> <li>binary mutex semaphores with an optional initialization argument that decides if priority inheritance should be used or not;</li> <li>upload of code that modified the symbol tables of the Pathfinder so that priority inheritance was used.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>L. Sha, R. Rajkumar, J. Lehoczky, Priority Inheritance Protocols: An Approach to Real-Time Synchronization, IEEE Transactions on Computers, Vol. 39, No. 9, 1990</li> <li>Restrictions on how we can lock (Wait, EnterMonitor) and unlock (Signal, LeaveMonitor) resources: <ul> <li>a task must release all resources between invocations;</li> <li>the computation time that a task <i>i</i> needs while holding semaphore <i>s</i> is bounded. <i>cs</i><sub>i,s</sub> = the time length of the critical section for task <i>i</i> holding semaphore <i>s</i>;</li> <li>a task may only lock semaphores from a fixed set of semaphores known a priory. <i>uses</i>(<i>i</i>) = the set of semaphores that may be used by task <i>i</i>.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
12	
The <i>ceiling</i> of a semaphore, <i>ceil(s)</i> , is the priority of the highest priority task that uses the semaphore; $pri(i)$ is the priority of task <i>i</i> . During	The Priority Ceiling Protocol
<ul> <li>run-time:</li> <li>if a task <i>i</i> wants to lock a semaphore <i>s</i>, it can only do so if <i>pri(i)</i> is strictly higher than the ceilings of all semaphores currently locked by other tasks;</li> <li>if not, task <i>i</i> will be blocked (task <i>i</i> is said to be blocked on the semaphore, <i>S</i>*, with the highest priority ceiling of all semaphores currently locked by other jobs and task <i>i</i> is said to be blocked by the task that holds <i>S</i>*);</li> <li>when task <i>i</i> is blocked on <i>S</i>*, the task currently holding <i>S</i>* inherits the priority of task <i>i</i>.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Properties:</li> <li>deadlock free;</li> <li>a given task <i>i</i> is delayed at most once by a lower priority task;</li> <li>the delay is a function of the time taken to execute the critical section.</li> </ul>








30

32



 $t_2$ : B tries to lock  $s_2$  and fails. The priority of B (9) is not strictly higher than the ceiling of  $s_3$  (9) that is held by C. B blocks on  $s_3$  (which means that B is blocked by C). C inherits the priority of B (9).

Critical section guarded by s2

unlocker

s2 locker

s2 unlockeds3 unlocked

t6

Critical section guarded by s3

t7 t8

The Priority Ceiling Protocol

A

в

С

the holder of the lock on  $s_3$ .

Critical section guarded by s1

s3 locked

t0 t1

s1 loc

blocked by C


t2 t3

(attemp to lock s2)

s2 loc


t4 t5

 $t_4$ : A completes. C resumes and tries to lock  $s_2$  and succeeds (C itself is




 $t_3$ : A preempts C and tries to lock  $s_1$  and succeeds. The priority of A (10) is higher than the ceiling of  $s_3$ , which is locked (9).

### The Priority Ceiling Protocol



33

31



# [JAVA] Priority Inheritance

 $\label{eq:priority} \ensuremath{\mathsf{Priority}}\xspace$  inheritance is a common, but not mandatory, feature of most Java implementations.

The Real-Time Java Specification requires that the priority inheritance protocol is implemented by default. The priority ceiling protocol is optional.

### **Message Passing**

42

44

Mailbox Communication	Naming Schemes
	<ul> <li>Direct naming: send "message" to "process"</li> <li>Indirect naming: send "message" to "mailbox"</li> <li>With indirect naming different structures are possible: (*) many to one, (*) many to many, (*) one to one, (*) one to many.</li> </ul>
Message Types	Message Buffering
<ul> <li>9. System- or user-defined data structures;</li> <li>9. The same representation at the sender and at the receiver;</li> <li>9. Shared address space (pointer, copy data).</li> </ul>	Asynchronous message passing requires buffering. The buffer size is always bounded. A process is blocked if it tries to send to a full mailbox. Problematic for high-priority processes. The message passing system must provide a primitive that only sends a message if the mailbox has enough space. Similarly, the message passing system must provide a primitive that makes it possible for a receiver process to test if there is a message in the mailbox before it reads.

40

[JAVA] Message Passing	[JAVA] Messages
<ul> <li>The se.lth.cs.realtime.event package provides support for mailboxes:</li> <li>asynchronous message passing;</li> <li>both direct naming and indirect naming can be implemented.</li> <li>However, in most examples one assumes that each thread (e.g., a consumer threads) contains a mailbox for incoming messages.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Messages are implemented as instances of objects that are subclasses to RTEvent. Messages are always time-stamped.</li> <li>Constructors: <ul> <li>RTEvent(): Creates an RTEvent object with the current thread as source and a time-stamp from the current system time;</li> <li>RTEvent(long ts): Creates an RTEvent object with the current thread as source and with the specified time stamp.</li> <li>RTEvent(java.lang.Object source): Creates an RTEvent object with the specified source object and a time-stamp from the current system time.</li> <li>RTEvent(java.lang.Object source, long ts): Creates an RTEvent object with the specified source object and time stamp.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
[JAVA] Messages	JAVA] Messages
A time-stamp supplied to the constructor may denote the time when input was sampled, rather than when an output event was created from a control block or digital filter. The source is by default the current thread, but a supplied source may denote some passive object like a control block run by an external thread.	<pre>Methods: • getSource(): returns the source object of the RTEvent; • getTicks(): returns the event's time stamp in number of system-dependent ticks; • getSeconds(): returns the timestamp in seconds; • getMillis(): returns the timestamp in milliseconds.</pre>
[JAVA] Mailboxes	[JAVA] Mailboxes
<pre>Mailboxes (message buffers) implemented by the class RTEventBuffer. Synchronized bounded buffer with both blocking and non-blocking methods for sending (posting) and reading (fetching) messages. The class attributes are declared protected in order to make it possible to create subclasses with different behavior. Constructor:</pre>	<ul> <li>Methods:</li> <li>doPost(RTEvent e): adds an RTEvent to the queue, blocks caller if the queue is full;</li> <li>tryPost(RTEvent e): Adds an RTEvent to the queue, without blocking if the queue is full; returns null if the buffer is non-full, the event e otherwise;</li> <li>doFetch(): returns the next RTEvent in the queue, blocks if none available;</li> <li>tryFetch(): returns the next available RTEvent in the queue, or null if the queue is empty;</li> <li>awaitEmpty(): waits for buffer to become empty;</li> <li>awaitFull(): waits for buffer to become full;</li> <li>isEmpty(): checks if buffer is empty;</li> <li>isFull(): checks if buffer is full.</li> </ul>

[JAVA] Producer-Consumer	[JAVA] Producer-Consumer
<pre>1 class Producer extends Thread { 2   Consumer receiver; 3   MyMessage msg; 4 5   public Producer(Consumer theReceiver) { 6     receiver = theReceiver; 7   } 8 9   public void run() { 10     while (true) { 11         char c = getChar(); 12         msg = new MyMessage(c); 13         receiver.putEvent(msg); 14     } 15     } 16   } </pre>	<pre>1 class Consumer extends Thread { 2    private RTEventBuffer inbox; 3 4    public Consumer(int size) { inbox = new RTEventBuffer(size); } 5    public void putEvent(MyMessage msg) { inbox.doPost(msg); } 6 7    public void run() { 8         RTEvent m; 9         while (true) { 10            m = inbox.doFetch(); 11            if (m instanceof MyMessage) { 12               MyMessage msg = (MyMessage) m; 13               useChar(msg.ch); 14            } else { 15</pre>
Message Passing: add-ons	[LINUX] Mailboxes
<ul> <li>Selective waiting: a process is only willing to accept messages of a certain category from a mailbox or directly from a set of processes (like Ada).</li> <li>Time out: time out on receiver processes.</li> <li>Priority-sorted mailboxes: urgent messages have priority over non-urgent messages.</li> </ul>	Mailbox communication is supported in a number of ways in Linux. One possibility is to use pipes, named pipes (FIFOs), or sockets, directly. Another possibility is POSIX Message Passing. Very similar in functionality to the Mailbox system already presented. Several other alternatives, like D-Bus http://www.freedesktop.org/wiki/Software/dbus
53	54
Message Passing (summary) Can be used both for communication and synchronization. Using empty messages a mailbox corresponds to a semaphore. Well suited for distributed systems.	<pre>Passing objects through a buffer      public class Buffer {         private Object data;         private boolean full = false;         private boolean empty = true;         public synchronized void put(Object inData) {             vhile (full) {</pre>

Passing objects through a buffer	Passing objects through a buffer
<pre>public synchronized Object get() {     while (empty) {         vait();</pre>	<pre>Sender Thread: public void run() { Object data = new Object(); while (true) { // Generate data b.put(data); } Receiver Thread: public void run() { Object data; while (true) { data = b.get(); // Use data } r ;     } </pre>
Passing objects through a buffer	Passing objects through a buffer
<pre>Very dangerous. The object reference in the receiver thread points at the same object as the object reference in the sender thread. All modifications will be done without protection. Approach 1: New objects</pre>	<pre>Approach 2: Copying in the buffer      public synchronized void put(Object inData) {         while (full) {             try {</pre>
<ul> <li>Passing objects through a buffer</li> <li>Approach 3: Immutable objects</li> <li>An immutable object is an object that cannot be modified once it has been created.</li> <li>An object is immutable if all data attributes are declared private and</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>no methods are declared that may set new values to the data attributes.</li><li>The sender sends immutable objects. It is not possible for the user to modify them in any dangerous way.</li></ul>	