



Compare with water tank:



Bendixson Criterion — cont'd

Proof (sketch): On any closed orbit γ we have

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1, x_2)$$

 $\dot{x}_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2) \Rightarrow dx_2/dx_1 = f_2/f_1$

and

$$\int f_2(x_1, x_2) dx_1 - f_1(x_1, x_2) dx_2 = 0$$

Green's theorem gives

$$\int \int_{S} \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}\right) dx_1 dx_2 = 0$$
(2)

where ${\boldsymbol S}$ is the interior area of the closed orbit ${\boldsymbol \gamma}$

Now, if the expression is sign definite $(>0 \mbox{ or }<0)$ on D then we can NOT find any area S such that Eq. (2) holds.

Equilibrium Points for Linear Systems



Example:[Khalil]

$$\dot{x}_1 = -x_1 + x_1 x_2$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = x_1 + x_2 - 2x_1 x_2$$

Equilibra: $\{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \Big]_{x=(0,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0\\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (saddle)
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=(1,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (stable focus)

Can be limit cycle around the single focus, but not a limit cycle around both equilibra.

Poincare index

Useful for existence of limit cycles:

Poincare index:

- ▶ The index of a node, a focus or a center is +1.
- The index of a saddle point is -1.
- The index of a closed orbit is +1.
- A closed curve not encirling any equilibrium has index 0.
- The index of a closed curve equals the sum of indices of the equilibria inside it.

Poincare index, cont'd

Corollary

Inside any periodic orbit $\boldsymbol{\gamma},$ there must be at least one equilibrium point.

If the equilibria are hyperbolic (i.e., $Re(\lambda_J) \neq 0$), then

$$N-S=1$$

where ${\cal S}$ is the number of saddles and ${\cal N}$ is the number of nodes and foci.

(This can be used to rule out existence of periodic orbits.)

Alexandr Mihailovich Lyapunov (1857–1918)



Master thesis "On the stability of ellipsoidal forms of equilibrium of rotating fluids," St. Petersburg University, 1884.

Doctoral thesis "The general problem of the stability of motion," 1892.

Stability Definitions

Lyapunov formalized the idea:

If the total energy is dissipated, the system must be stable.

Main benefit: By looking at an energy-like function (a so called Lyapunov function), we might conclude that a system is stable or asymptotically stable **without solving** the nonlinear differential equation.

Trades the difficulty of solving the differential equation to:

"How to find a Lyapunov function?"

Many cases covered in [?]

An equilibrium point x = 0 of $\dot{x} = f(x)$ is

locally stable, if for every R > 0 there exists r > 0, such that

$$\|x(0)\| < r \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|x(t)\| < R, \quad t \ge 0$$

locally asymptotically stable, if locally stable and

$$||x(0)|| < r \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0$$

globally asymptotically stable, if asymptotically stable for all $x(0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$.

Lyapunov Theorem for Local Stability

Theorem Let $\dot{x} = f(x)$, f(0) = 0, and $0 \in \Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. Assume that $V : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ is a C^1 function. If

- ▶ V(0) = 0
- V(x) > 0, for all $x \in \Omega$, $x \neq 0$
- $\frac{d}{dt}V(x) \leq 0$ along all trajectories in Ω

then x = 0 is locally stable. Furthermore, if also

• $\frac{d}{dt}V(x) < 0$ for all $x \in \Omega, x \neq 0$

then x = 0 is locally asymptotically stable.

Proof: Read proof in [Khalil] or [Slotine].

Lyapunov Theorem for Global Stability

Theorem Let $\dot{x} = f(x)$ and f(0) = 0. Assume that $V : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ is a C^1 function. If

- ▶ V(0) = 0
- V(x) > 0, for all $x \neq 0$
- $\dot{V}(x) < 0$ for all $x \neq 0$
- $V(x) \to \infty$ as $||x|| \to \infty$

then x = 0 is globally asymptotically stable.

Note! Can be only one equilibrium.

Example – saturated control

Exercise - 5 min

Find a bounded control signal $u = \operatorname{sat}(v)$, which **globally** stabilizes the system

radially unbounded

What is the problem with using the 'standard candidate'

 $V_1 = x_1^2/2 + x_2^2/2 \ ?$

Hint: Use the Lyapunov function candidate

 $V_2 = \ln(1 + x_1^2) + \alpha x_2^2$

for some appropriate value of α .

Linear Systems – cont.

Discrete time linear system:

 $x(k+1) = \Phi x(k)$

The following statements are equivalent

- ▶ x = 0 is asymptotically stable
- $|\lambda_i| < 1$ for all eigenvalues of Φ
- ▶ Given any Q = Q^T > 0 there exists P = P^T > 0, which is the unique solution of the (discrete Lyapunov equation)

 $\Phi^T P \Phi - P = -Q$

Lyapunov Functions (\approx Energy Functions)

A Lyapunov function fulfills $V(x_0) = 0$, V(x) > 0 for $x \in \Omega$, $x \neq x_0$, and



Radial Unboundedness is Necessary

If the condition $V(x) \to \infty$ as $||x|| \to \infty$ is not fulfilled, then global stability cannot be guaranteed.

Example Assume $V(x) = x_1^2/(1+x_1^2) + x_2^2$ is a Lyapunov

function for a system. Can have $||x|| \to \infty$ even if V(x) < 0. Contour plot V(x) = C:



See [Khalil, p.123] and Exc. 4.8

Lyapunov Function for Linear System

Theorem The eigenvalues λ_i of A satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i < 0$ if and only if: for every positive definite $Q = Q^T$ there exists a positive definite $P = P^T$ such that

$$PA + A^T P = -Q$$

Proof of $\exists Q, P \Rightarrow Re \lambda_i(A) < 0$: Consider $\dot{x} = Ax$ and the Lyapunov function candidate $V(x) = x^T P x$.

$$\dot{V}(x) = x^T P \dot{x} + \dot{x}^T P x = x^T (P A + A^T P) x = -x^T Q x < 0, \quad \forall x \neq 0$$

 $\Rightarrow \quad \dot{x} = Ax \quad \text{asymptotically stable} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \text{Re} \ \lambda_i < 0$

Proof of $\underline{Re \lambda_i(A) < 0 \Rightarrow \exists Q, P}$: Choose $P = \int_0^\infty e^{A^T t} Q e^{At} dt$

Exponential Stability

The equilibrium point x = 0 of the system $\dot{x} = f(x)$ is said to be **exponentially stable** if there exist c, k, γ such that for every $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$, $||x(t_0)|| \le c$ one has

$$||x(t)|| \leq k ||x(t_0)|| e^{-\gamma(t-t_0)}$$

It is **globally** exponentially stable if the condition holds for arbitrary initial states.

For linear systems asymptotic stability implies global exponential stability.

"Comparison functions– class \mathcal{K} "

The following two function classes are often used as lower or upper bounds on growth condition of Lyapunov function candidates and their derivatives.

Definition (Class \mathcal{K} functions [?])

A continuous function $\alpha : [0, \alpha) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to belong to class \mathcal{K} if it is strictly increasing and $\alpha(0) = 0$. It is said to belong to class \mathcal{K}_{∞} if $a = \infty$ and $\lim_{r \to \infty} \alpha(r) = \infty$.

Common choice is $\alpha_i(||x||) = k_i ||x||^c$, k, c > 0

Lyapunov Theorem for Exponential Stability

Let $V: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function and let $k_i > 0, \, c > 0$ be numbers such that

 $egin{array}{rcl} k_1|x|^c&\leq V(x)&\leq k_2|x|^c\ &&\ &rac{\partial V}{\partial x}f(t,x)&\leq -k_3|x|^c \end{array}$

for $t \ge 0$, $||x|| \le r$. Then x = 0 is exponentially stable. If *r* is arbitrary, then x = 0 is **globally** exponentially stable.

"Comparison functions– class $\mathcal{K}L$ "

Definition (Class KL functions [?])

A continuous function $\beta : [0, \alpha) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to belong to class $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{L}}$ if for each fixed *s* the mapping $\beta(r, s)$ is a class $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{L}}$ function with respect to *r*, and for each fixed *r* the mapping $\beta(r, s)$ is decreasing with respect to *s* and $\lim_{s \to \infty} \beta(r, s) = 0$. The function $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$ is said to belong to class $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{L}_{\infty}}$ if for each fixed *s*, $\beta(r, s)$ belongs to class \mathcal{K}_{∞} with respect to *r*.

For exponential stability $\beta(||x||, t) = \dots$ (fill in)

Proof

$$\dot{V} = rac{\partial V}{\partial x} f(t,x) \leq -k_3 |x|^c \leq -rac{k_3}{k_2} V$$

 $V(x) \le V(x_0)e^{-(k_3/k_2)(t-t_0)} \le k_2|x_0|^c e^{-(k_3/k_2)(t-t_0)}$

$$|x(t)| \le \left(rac{V}{k_1}
ight)^{1/c} \le \left(rac{k_2}{k_1}
ight)^{1/c} |x_0| e^{-(k_3/k_2)(t-t_0)/c}$$

Aircraft Example



(Branicky, 1993)

Matlab Session

Copy /home/kursolin/matlab/lmiinit.m to the current directory or download and install the IQCbeta toolbox from https://github.com/iqcbeta/iqc-toolbox

>> abst_init_lmi >> A1=[-5 -4;-1 -2]; >> A2=[-2 -1; 2 -2]; >> psymmetric(2); >> p>0; >> A1'*p+p*A1<0; >> A2'*p+p*A2<0; >> lmi_mincx_tbx >> P=value_iqc(p) P = 0.0749 -0.0257 -0.0257 0.1580

Quadratic Stability

Given $A, B, C, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m$, suppose there exists a P > 0 such that

 $0 > (A + B\Delta_i C)'P + P(A + B\Delta_i C)$ for all *i*

Then the system

 $\dot{x} = [A + B\Delta(x,t)C]x$

is globally exponentially stable for all functions Δ satisfying

 $\Delta(x,t) \in \operatorname{conv}\{\Delta_1,\ldots,\Delta_m\}$

for all x and t

Piecewise linear system

Consider the nonlinear differential equation

$$\dot{x} = \begin{cases} A_1 x & \text{if } x_1 < 0 \\ A_2 x & \text{if } x_1 \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

with $x = (x_1, x_2)$. If the inequalities

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} A_1^*P + PA_1 &< & 0 \\ A_2^*P + PA_2 &< & 0 \\ & P &> & 0 \end{array}$

can be solved simultaneously for the matrix P, then stability is proved by the Lyapunov function x^*Px

Trajectory Stability Theorem Time-varying systems Let f be differentiable along the trajectory $\hat{x}(t)$ of the system Note that autonomous systems only depends on $(t - t_0)$ while $\dot{x} = f(x,t)$ solutions for non-autonomous systems may depend on t₀ and t Then, under some regularity conditions on $\hat{x}(t)$, exponential independently. stability of the linear system $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$ with $A(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), t)$ A second order autonomous system can never have implies that "non-simply intersecting" trajectories (A limit cycle can never be a 'figure eight') $|x(t) - \hat{x}(t)|$ decays exponentially for all x in a neighborhood of \hat{x} . Stability definitions for time-varying systems A system is said to be **uniformly stable** if r can be independently chosen with respect to t_0 , i. e., r = r(R). An equilibrium point x = 0 of $\dot{x} = f(x, t)$ is Example of non-uniform convergence [Slotine, p.105/Khalil p.134] **locally stable** at t_0 , if for every R > 0 there exists $r = r(R, t_0) > 0$, such that Consider $\dot{x} = -x/(1+t)$ $\|x(t_0)\| < r \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|x(t)\| < R, \quad t \ge t_0$ which has the solution $x(t) = \frac{1+t_0}{1+t}x(t_0) \quad \Rightarrow |x(t)| \le |x(t_0)| \quad \forall t \ge t_0$ locally asymptotically stable at time t_0 , if locally stable and $||x(t_0)|| < r(t_0) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim x(t) = 0$ The solution $x(t) \rightarrow 0$, but we can not get a 'decay rate estimate' independently of t_0 . globally asymptotically stable, if asymptotically stable for all $x(t_0) \in \mathbf{R}^n$. **Time-varying Lyapunov Functions Time-varying Linear Systems** Let $V : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function and The following conditions are equivalent let $k_i > 0, c > 0$ be numbers such that • The system $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$ is exponentially stable $\begin{array}{rcl} k_1|x|^c \leq V(t,x) & \leq & k_2|x|^c \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t,x) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(t,x)f(t,x) & \leq & -k_3|x|^c \end{array}$ • There exists a symmetric matrix function P(t) > 0 such that $-I \ge \dot{P}(t) + A(t)'P(t) + P(t)A(t)$ for $t \ge 0$, $||x|| \le r$. Then x = 0 is exponentially stable. for all t. If r is arbitrary, then x = 0 is **globally** exponentially stable. Proof Lyapunov's first theorem revisited Suppose the time-varying system Given the second condition, let V(x,t) = x'P(t)x. Then $\frac{d}{dt}V(t,x(t)) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}Ax = x'(\dot{P} + A'P + PA)x < -|x|^2$ $\dot{x} = f(x,t)$ has an equilibrium x = 0, where $\partial^2 f / \partial x^2$ is continuous and so exponential stability follows the Lyapunov theorem. uniformly bounded as a function of t.

Conversely, given exponential stability, let $\Phi(t,s)$ be the transition matrix for the system. Then the matrix $P(t) = \int_t^\infty \Phi(t,s)' \Phi(t,s) ds$ is well-defined and satisfies

$$-I = \dot{P}(t) + A(t)'P(t) + P(t)A(t)$$

Then the equilibrium is exponentially stable provided that this is true for the linearization $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$ where

$$A(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,t)$$

Proof

The system can be written

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x,t) = A(t)x(t) + o(x,t)$$

where $|o(x,t)|/|x| \to 0$ uniformly as $|x| \to 0$. Choose P(t) > 0 with

$$\dot{P}(t) + A(t)'P(t) + P(t)A(t) \le -I$$

and let V(x) = x'Px. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(t,x(t)) = x'(\dot{P} + A'P + PA)x + 2x'P(t)o(x,t) < -|x|^2/2$$

in a neighborhood of x = 0. Hence Lyapunov's theorem proves exponential stability.

Lyapunov's Linearization Method revisited

Recall from Lecture 2 (undergraduate course):

Theorem Consider

 $\dot{x} = f(x)$

Assume that x = 0 is an equilibrium point and that

$$\dot{x} = Ax$$

is a linearization.

- (1) If $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i(A) < 0$ for all *i*, then x = 0 is locally asymptotically stable.
- (2) If there exists *i* such that $\lambda_i(A) > 0$, then x = 0 is unstable.

Summary Lecture 1

- Nonlinear phenomena [Khalil Ch 3.1]
 - existence and uniqueness
 - finite escape time
- Second order systems [Khalil Ch 2.4, 2.6]
 periodic solutions / limit cycles
- Stability theory [Khalil Ch. 4]
 - Lyapunov Theory revisited
 - exponential stability
 - quadratic stability
 - time-varying systems
 - invariant sets

Proof of Trajectory Stability Theorem

Let $z(t) = x(t) - \hat{x}(t)$. Then z = 0 is an equilibrium and the system

$$\dot{z}(t) = f(z + \hat{x}) - f(\hat{x})$$

The desired implication follows by the time-varying version of Lyapunov's first theorem.

Proof of (1) in Lyapunov's Linearization Method

Lyapunov function candidate $V(x) = x^T P x$. V(0) = 0, V(x) > 0 for $x \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(x) &= x^T P f(x) + f^T(x) P x \\ &= x^T P [Ax + g(x)] + [x^T A + g^T(x)] P x \\ &= x^T (P A + A^T P) x + 2x^T P g(x) = -x^T Q x + 2x^T P g(x) \end{split}$$

$$x^TQx \geq \lambda_{\min}(Q) \|x\|^2$$
 and for all $\gamma > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\|g(x)\| < \gamma \|x\|, \qquad \forall \|x\| < r$$

Thus, choosing γ sufficiently small gives

$$\dot{V}(x) \le -(\lambda_{\min}(Q) - 2\gamma\lambda_{\max}(P)) \|x\|^2 < 0$$