Lecture 9 — Nonlinear Control Design

- Exact-linearization
- Lyapunov-based design
  - Lab 2
  - Adaptive control
  - Backstepping
- Hybrid / Piece-wise linear control
  - NOTE: Only overview!

Literature: [Khalil, ch.s 13, 14.2, 14.3] and [Glad-Ljung, ch.17]
| Lecture 1-3   | Modelling and basic phenomena  
|             | (linearization, phase plane, limit cycles) |
| Lecture 4-6 | Analysis methods  
|             | (Lyapunov, circle criterion, describing functions) |
| Lecture 7-8 | Common nonlinearities  
|             | (Saturation, friction, backlash, quantization) |
| Lecture 9-13| Design methods  
|             | (Lyapunov methods, Backstepping, Optimal control) |
| Lecture 14  | Summary |
Exact Feedback Linearization

Idea:
Find state feedback \( u = u(x, v) \) so that the nonlinear system
\[
\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u
\]
turns into the linear system
\[
\dot{x} = Ax + Bu
\]
and then apply linear control design method.
Exact linearization: example [one-link robot]

\[ ml^2 \ddot{\theta} + d \dot{\theta} + m \ell g \cos \theta = u \]

where \( d \) is the viscous damping.

The control \( u = \tau \) is the applied torque

Design state feedback controller \( u = u(x) \) with \( x = (\theta, \dot{\theta})^T \)
Introduce new control variable $v$ and let

$$u = m\ell^2 v + d\dot{\theta} + m\ell g \cos \theta$$

Then

$$\ddot{\theta} = v$$

Choose e.g. a PD-controller

$$v = v(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = k_p(\theta_{\text{ref}} - \theta) - k_d \dot{\theta}$$

This gives the closed-loop system:

$$\ddot{\theta} + k_d \dot{\theta} + k_p \theta = k_p \theta_{\text{ref}}$$

Hence, $u = m\ell^2[k_p(\theta - \theta_{\text{ref}}) - k_d \dot{\theta}] + d\dot{\theta} + m\ell g \cos \theta$
Multi-link robot (n-joints)

General form

\[ M(\theta)\ddot{\theta} + C(\theta, \dot{\theta})\dot{\theta} + G(\theta) = u, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}^n \]

Called *fully* actuated if \( n \) indep. actuators,

- \( M \quad n \times n \) inertia matrix, \( M = M^T > 0 \)
- \( C\dot{\theta} \quad n \times 1 \) vector of centrifugal and Coriolis forces
- \( G \quad n \times 1 \) vector of gravitation terms
Computed torque

The computed torque (also known as "Exact linearization", "dynamic inversion", etc.)

\[ u = M(\theta)v + C(\theta, \dot{\theta})\dot{\theta} + G(\theta) \]
\[ v = K_p(\theta_{ref} - \theta) - K_d \dot{\theta}, \]  

(1)
gives closed-loop system

\[ \ddot{\theta} + K_d \dot{\theta} + K_p \theta = K_p \theta_{Ref} \]

The matrices \( K_d \) and \( K_p \) can be chosen diagonal (no cross-terms) and then this decouples into \( n \) independent second-order equations.
\[ \dot{x} = f(x, u) \]

- Select Lyapunov function \( V(x) \) for stability verification
- Find state feedback \( u = u(x) \) that makes \( V \) decreasing
- Method depends on structure of \( f \)

Examples are energy shaping as in Lab 2 and, e.g., **Back-stepping control design**, which require certain \( f \) discussed later.
Use Lyapunov-based design for swing-up control.
Rough outline of method to get the pendulum to the upright position

- Find expression for total energy $E$ of the pendulum (potential energy + kinetic energy)
- Let $E_n$ be energy in upright position.
- Look at deviation $V = \frac{1}{2} (E - E_n)^2 \geq 0$
- Find "swing strategy" of control torque $u$ such that $\dot{V} \leq 0$
Consider the nonlinear system

\[
\dot{x}_1 = -3x_1 + 2x_1x_2^2 + u
\]
\[
\dot{x}_2 = -x_2^3 - x_2,
\] (2)

Find a nonlinear feedback control law which makes the origin globally asymptotically stable.

We try the standard Lyapunov function candidate

\[
V(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2} (x_1^2 + x_2^2),
\]

which is radially unbounded, \(V(0, 0) = 0\), and \(V(x_1, x_2) > 0 \ \forall (x_1, x_2) \neq (0, 0)\).
Example - cont’d

\[ \dot{V} = x_1 x_1 + x_2 x_2 = (-3x_1 + 2x_1 x_2^2 + u)x_1 + (-x_2^3 - x_2)x_2 \]
\[ = -3x_1^2 - x_2^2 + ux_1 + 2x_1^2 x_2^2 - x_4^2 \]

We would like to have

\[ \dot{V} < 0 \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \neq (0, 0) \]

Inserting the control law, \( u = -2x_1 x_2^2 \), we get

\[ \dot{V} = -3x_1^2 - x_2^2 - 2x_1^2 x_2^2 + 2x_1^2 x_2^2 - x_2^4 = -3x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_2^4 < 0, \quad \forall x \neq 0 \]
\[ = 0 \]
Consider the system

\[ \dot{x}_1 = x_2^3 \]
\[ \dot{x}_2 = u \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Find a globally asymptotically stabilizing control law \( u = u(x) \).

**Attempt 1:** Try the standard Lyapunov function candidate

\[ V(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right), \]

which is radially unbounded, \( V(0, 0) = 0 \), and \( V(x_1, x_2) > 0 \ \forall (x_1, x_2) \neq (0, 0) \).

\[ \dot{V} = x_1 x_1 + x_2 x_2 = x_2^3 \cdot x_1 + u \cdot x_2 = x_2 \left( x_2 x_1 + u \right) = -x_2^2 \leq 0 \]

where we chose

\[ u = -x_2 - x_2^2 x_1 \]
However $\dot{V} = 0$ as soon as $x_2 = 0$ (Note: $x_1$ could be anything).

According to LaSalle’s theorem the set $E = \{x|\dot{V} = 0\} = \{(x_1, 0)\} \forall x_1$

What is the largest invariant subset $M \subseteq E$?

Plugging in the control law $u = -x_2 - x_2^2 x_1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2^3 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= -x_2 - x_2^2 x_1
\end{align*}
$$

(4)

Observe that if we start anywhere on the line $\{(x_1, 0)\}$ we will stay in the same point as both $\dot{x}_1 = 0$ and $\dot{x}_2 = 0$, thus $M = E$ and we will not converge to the origin, but get stuck on the line $x_2 = 0$.

Draw phase-plot with e.g., pplane and study the behaviour.
Attempt 2:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2^3 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= u 
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Try the Lyapunov function candidate

\[
V(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2} x_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} x_2^4,
\]

which satisfies

- \( V(0, 0) = 0 \)
- \( V(x_1, x_2) > 0, \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \neq (0, 0) \).
- radially unbounded,
- compute

\[
\dot{V} = \dot{x}_1 x_1 + \dot{x}_2 x_2^3 = x_2^3 (x_1 + u) = -x_2^4 \leq 0
\]

\[\uparrow\]

if we use \( u = -x_1 - x_2 \)
With 
\[ u = -x_1 - x_2 \]
we get the dynamics
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2^3 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= -x_1 - x_2
\end{align*}
\] (6)

\[ \dot{V} = 0 \text{ if } x_2 = 0, \text{ thus } \]
\[ E = \{ x | \dot{V} = 0 \} = \{(x_1, 0) \, \forall x_1\} \]

However, now the only possibility to stay on \( x_2 = 0 \) is if \( x_1 = 0 \), (else \( \dot{x}_2 \neq 0 \) and we will leave the line \( x_2 = 0 \)).

Thus, the largest invariant set
\[ M = (0, 0) \]

According to the Invariant Set Theorem (LaSalle) all solutions will end up in \( M \) and so the origin is GAS.

Draw phase-plot with e.g., pplane and study the behaviour.
Adaptive Noise Cancellation Revisited

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{x} + ax &= bu \\
\hat{x} + \hat{a}\hat{x} &= \hat{b}u
\end{align*} \]

Introduce \( \tilde{x} = x - \hat{x} \), \( \tilde{a} = a - \hat{a} \), \( \tilde{b} = b - \hat{b} \).

Want to design adaptation law so that \( \tilde{x} \to 0 \).
Let us try the Lyapunov function

\[ V = \frac{1}{2}(\ddot{x}^2 + \gamma_a \dddot{a}^2 + \gamma_b \dddot{b}^2) \]

\[ \dot{V} = \dddot{x} \dddot{x} + \gamma_a \dddot{a} \dddot{a} + \gamma_b \dddot{b} \dddot{b} = \]

\[ = \dddot{x}(-a \dddot{x} - \dddot{a} \dddot{x} + \dddot{b} u) + \gamma_a \dddot{a} \dddot{a} + \gamma_b \dddot{b} \dddot{b} = -a \dddot{x}^2 \]

where the last equality follows if we choose

\[ \dddot{a} = -\dddot{a} = \frac{1}{\gamma_a} \dddot{x} \]

\[ \dddot{b} = -\dddot{b} = -\frac{1}{\gamma_b} \dddot{x} u \]

Invariant set: \( \ddot{x} = 0 \).

This proves that \( \ddot{x} \rightarrow 0 \).

(The parameters \( \ddot{a} \) and \( \ddot{b} \) do not necessarily converge: \( u \equiv 0 \).)
We want to design a state feedback $u = u(x)$ that stabilizes

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= f(x_1) + g(x_1)x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= u 
\end{align*}$$

at $x = 0$ with $f(0) = 0$.

**Idea:** See the system as a cascade connection. Design controller first for the inner loop and then for the outer.
Suppose the partial system

\[ \dot{x}_1 = f(x_1) + g(x_1)\bar{v} \]

can be stabilized by \(\bar{v} = \phi(x_1)\) and there exists Lyapunov fcn \(V_1 = V_1(x_1)\) such that

\[ \dot{V}_1(x_1) = \frac{dV_1}{dx_1} \left( f(x_1) + g(x_1)\phi(x_1) \right) \leq -W(x_1) \]

for some positive definite function \(W\).
Equation (7) can be rewritten as

\[
\dot{x}_1 = f(x_1) + g(x_1)\phi(x_1) + g(x_1)[x_2 - \phi(x_1)] \\
\dot{x}_2 = u
\]
Introduce new state $\zeta = x_2 - \phi(x_1)$ and control $v = u - \dot{\phi}$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= f(x_1) + g(x_1)\phi(x_1) + g(x_1)\zeta \\
\dot{\zeta} &= v
\end{align*}
\]
Consider $V_2(x_1, x_2) = V_1(x_1) + \zeta^2/2$. Then,

$$
\dot{V}_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{dV_1}{dx_1} \left( f(x_1) + g(x_1)\phi(x_1) \right) + \frac{dV_1}{dx_1} g(x_1)\zeta + \zeta v \\
\leq -W(x_1) + \frac{dV_1}{dx_1} g(x_1)\zeta + \zeta v
$$

Choosing

$$
v = -\frac{dV_1}{dx_1} g(x_1) - k\zeta, \quad k > 0
$$

gives

$$
\dot{V}_2(x_1, x_2) \leq -W(x_1) - k\zeta^2
$$

Hence, $x = 0$ is asymptotically stable for (7) with control law $u(x) = \dot{\phi}(x) + v(x)$.

If $V_1$ radially unbounded, then global stability.
**Back-Stepping Lemma**

**Lemma:** Let $z = (x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1})^T$ and

$$
\dot{z} = f(z) + g(z)x_k \\
\dot{x}_k = u
$$

Assume $\phi(0) = 0$, $f(0) = 0$,

$$
\dot{z} = f(z) + g(z)\phi(z)
$$

stable, and $V(z)$ a Lyapunov fcn (with $\dot{V} \leq -W$). Then,

$$
u = \frac{d\phi}{dz}\left(f(z) + g(z)x_k\right) - \frac{dV}{dz}g(z) - (x_k - \phi(z))$$

stabilizes $x = 0$ with $V(z) + (x_k - \phi(z))^2/2$ being a Lyapunov fcn.
Strict Feedback Systems

Back-stepping Lemma can be applied to stabilize systems on strict feedback form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= f_1(x_1) + g_1(x_1)x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= f_2(x_1, x_2) + g_2(x_1, x_2)x_3 \\
\dot{x}_3 &= f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) + g_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)x_4 \\
&\vdots \\
\dot{x}_n &= f_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) + g_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)u
\end{align*}
\]

where \( g_k \neq 0 \)

**Note**: \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \) do not depend on \( x_{k+2}, \ldots, x_n \).
Back-Stepping Lemma can be applied recursively to a system

\[ \dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u \]

on strict feedback form.

Back-stepping generates stabilizing feedbacks \( \phi_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \) (equal to \( u \) in Back-Stepping Lemma) and Lyapunov functions

\[ V_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = V_{k-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}) + [x_k - \phi_{k-1}]^2 / 2 \]

by “stepping back” from \( x_1 \) to \( u \)

Back-stepping results in the final state feedback

\[ u = \phi_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \]
Example

Design back-stepping controller for

\[ \dot{x}_1 = x_1^2 + x_2, \quad \dot{x}_2 = x_3, \quad \dot{x}_3 = u \]

**Step 0** Verify strict feedback form

**Step 1** Consider first subsystem

\[ \dot{x}_1 = x_1^2 + \phi_1(x_1), \quad \dot{x}_2 = u_1 \]

where \( \phi_1(x_1) = -x_1^2 - x_1 \) stabilizes the first equation. With \( V_1(x_1) = x_1^2/2 \), Back-Stepping Lemma gives

\[ u_1 = (-2x_1 - 1)(x_1^2 + x_2) - x_1 - (x_2 + x_1^2 + x_1) = \phi_2(x_1, x_2) \]

\[ V_2 = x_1^2/2 + (x_2 + x_1^2 + x_1)^2/2 \]
Step 2 Applying Back-Stepping Lemma on

\[ \dot{x}_1 = x_1^2 + x_2 \]
\[ \dot{x}_2 = x_3 \]
\[ \dot{x}_3 = u \]

gives

\[ u = u_2 = \frac{d\phi_2}{dz} \left( f(z) + g(z)x_n \right) - \frac{dV_2}{dz}g(z) - (x_n - \phi_2(z)) \]
\[ = \frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial x_1}(x_1^2 + x_2) + \frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial x_2}x_3 - \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial x_2} - (x_3 - \phi_2(x_1, x_2)) \]

which globally stabilizes the system.
Hybrid Control

Control problems where there is a mixture between continuous states and discrete state variables.

Continuous states: position, velocity, temperature, pressure

Discrete states: on/off variables, controller modes, loss of actuators, loss of sensors, relays, etc

Discontinuous differential equations

Much active field, much left to understand
Example of hybrid control

Control law that switches between different modes, e.g. between

- Time optimal control – during large set point changes
- Linear control – close to set point
Aircraft Example

\[
\begin{align*}
    r & \rightarrow e_1 \\
    \text{lim} & \rightarrow e_2 \\
    -n_z & \\
    + & \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow 1 \\
    + & \rightarrow K_2 \rightarrow 2 \\
    max & \\
    & \rightarrow q_r
\end{align*}
\]

(Branicky, 1993)
No common \textit{quadratic} Lyapunov function exists.

\begin{equation*}
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
-5 & -4 \\
-1 & -2
\end{bmatrix} \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
-2 & -4 \\
20 & -2
\end{bmatrix}
\end{equation*}
Piecewise quadratic Lyapunov functions

\[ V(x) = \begin{cases} 
  x^*Px & \text{if } x_1 < 0 \\
  x^*Px + \eta x_1^2 & \text{if } x_1 \geq 0 
\end{cases} \]

The matrix inequalities

\[ A_1^*P + PA_1 < 0 \]

\[ P > 0 \]

\[ A_2^*(P + \eta E^*E) + (P + \eta E^*E)A_2 < 0 \]

\[ P + \eta E^*E > 0 \]

with \( E = [1 \ 0] \), have the solution \( P = \text{diag}\{1, 3\} \), \( \eta = 7 \).
Flower Example
Next Lecture

- Optimization.

Read chapter 18 in [Glad & Ljung] for preparation.